SINGLE BENCH

(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6431 OF 2005

PETITIONER : Dr.Balbhadra Mishra aged about 57 years S/o Late Shri Janardan Mishra ,Occupation Senior Vaidya in South Eastern Coalfield Limited, Main Hospital Korba Resident of Subhash Block , S.E.C.L.Colony, Korba, Tahsil and District Korba (CG).

P.R. No. 6388/05
Presented by Rupeshshrivastava
dated 14/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Government of India , through the Secretary, Ministry of Energy, Department of Coal, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. Coal India Limited, through Director (Personnel), Coal Bhawan, 10 Netaji Subhash Road Kolkata (W.B.)
3. South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Through its Director, (Personnel), South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).
4. The Chief General Manager, Area Office, South Eastern Coalfield Limited, Korba ,Tahsil and District Korba (CG).
5. The Chief Medical Superintendent, South Eastern Coalfield Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

RIC
Receipt
14-12-05
for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

Distt. Court Bilaspur

(2) 5

WRIT PETITION U/S 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(51)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 6431/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-12-2005	

Shri Rupesh Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Shailendra Dubey, Central Govt. Counsel for respondent no.1.

Shri P.S. Koshy, counsel for respondents no.2 to 5.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been given promotion, though he is entitled for it. It is further submitted that the petitioner has made the representation before respondent no.2, which has not been decided so far. It is also submitted that the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondent no.2, which may be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondent no.2 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of one month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondent no.2 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the representation. If any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

H/-

पीछे दाखिये